From: Paul.Scott9@met.police.uk [mailto:Paul.Scott9@met.police.uk]

Sent: 19 September 2019 15:10 **To:** aleslicensing@mail.com

Cc: Business Licence <business.licence@brent.gov.uk>; Legister, Linda <Linda.Legister@brent.gov.uk>; Patel,

Yogini < Yogini. Patel@brent.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: CONSULT: New Premises - Fudam, 238A Ealing Road, Wembley, HAO 4QL - 17186

Good afternoon Mark,

Thank you for the quick response and agreeing with the proposed conditions.

Police can confirm that they are now in a position to <u>withdraw</u> their representations.

Kind regards,

Paul

From: Licensing [mailto:aleslicensing@mail.com]

Sent: 19 September 2019 14:27

To: Scott Paul - NW-CU

Subject: Re: CONSULT: New Premises - Fudam, 238A Ealing Road, Wembley, HAO 4QL - 17186

Thanks Paul,

That's all fine with the applicant.

I can confirm that we are in agreement with the Police conditions being applied to the licence.

Regards,

Mark

Mark Altman ALES Licensing

Hi Mark,

I have copied the wording from your suggestion at paragraph 6 and added this to my representation, verbatim.

With the exception of persons seated on the chairs around the service area, as shown on the plan, all sales and supplies of alcohol will only be served directly to persons at a table.

I know that this is not copied exactly from the Brent pool of conditions, but reads well and is self-explanatory.

If you could replace with the wordings suggested, that would be most helpful.

I am out this afternoon on visits, but am in the office for most of the day tomorrow. I shall ring you tomorrow at some stage if that suits.

I think in principal, we are agreed on most if not all points.

Regards,

From: Licensing [mailto:aleslicensing@mail.com]

Sent: 19 September 2019 09:39

To: Scott Paul - NW-CU < Paul. Scott9@met.police.uk >

Subject: Re: CONSULT: New Premises - Fudam, 238A Ealing Road, Wembley, HA0 4QL - 17186

Good morning Paul,

You had advised me that you wouldn't be in the office until Thursday, so I wasn't expecting a response before that. I hope that you have had a good few days away from work.

Thanks for your response and agreement. I have looked at the attached document but can't see any text in yellow, and the condition appears to be the same as my condition 6. However I am having to look at the document on an iPad so it might be a formatting issue.

Please could you advise re this and also if you want all of our volunteered conditions to be removed and replaced with the wordings you have suggested. I am happy to put the list together including the conditions accepted with Public health, so that there is no confusion when the LA have to type up the licence.

I am training and at a hearings all day, and have very limited access to the internet or time to speak on the telephone. I should be available after 2pm for an hour if it's easier to discuss this on the phone.

Regards,

Mark

ALES Licensing

On 19 Sep 2019, at 08:46, <Paul.Scott9@met.police.uk> <Paul.Scott9@met.police.uk> wrote:

Good morning Mark,

Thank you for your email.

Sorry for the delay in replying, I have been off for a few days.

After considering the proposed conditions I am happy to remove conditions 6, 7 and 8.

I have highlighted in yellow the accepted proposal of your condition 6.

Should this be agreeable, I would be in a position to withdraw my representations.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Paul

Paul Scott | Police Constable 3302NW | Licensing Officer – <u>Brent</u> - North West BCU | Partnership & Prevention Hub

From: Licensing [mailto:aleslicensing@mail.com]

Sent: 15 September 2019 10:19

To: Scott Paul - NW-CU < Paul. Scott 9@met.police.uk >

Cc: <u>business.licence@brent.gov.uk</u>; <u>Linda.Legister@brent.gov.uk</u>; <u>Yogini.Patel@brent.gov.uk</u> **Subject:** Re: CONSULT: New Premises - Fudam, 238A Ealing Road, Wembley, HAO 4QL - 17186

Good morning Paul,

I am grateful for your prompt response in this matter and have sent the information to the applicant.

I am awaiting their response and will reply to you as soon as I receive their instructions.

I would not envisage the majority of the conditions presenting a problem, and am happy to remove similar worded conditions from our operating schedule and replace them with the ones you have suggested.

The one issue is with regard to your proposed conditions at paragraphs 6, 7 & 8 with regard to alcohol sales being made as an accompaniment to food.

Whilst the premises is primarily food led and the cut between wet sales, which also includes non alcohol drinks, and dry sales, is anticipated to be 20% wet and 80% dry. They would like the facility to serve alcohol by waiter or waitress service to persons seated at a table other than as an accompaniment to a table meal.

For example if a group of six people were eating at the premises and the 7th has already eaten elsewhere prior to joining them, that person could still be served alcohol without having to place a food order.

We are confident that the levels of alcohol consumption without food will be low, and that the other conditions mitigate the likelihood of anyone becoming drunk and causing an issue for the responsible authorities.

For these reasons we respectfully ask if you would reconsider your proposed conditions at paragraphs 6, 7 & 8 and accept our condition 6 in the operating schedule under the public safety section:

PUBLIC SAFETY

6) With the exception of persons seated on the chairs around the service area, as shown on the plan, all sales and supplies of alcohol will only be served directly to persons seated at a table

Regards,

Mark

Mark Altman ALES Licensing